

## Determination Enclosures

### RDA Matrix

# West Virginia 2025 Part B Results-Driven Accountability Matrix

#### Results-Driven Accountability Percentage and Determination (1)

Percentage (%)	Determination
77.50%	Needs Assistance

#### Results and Compliance Overall Scoring

Section	Total Points Available	Points Earned	Score (%)
Results	20	12	60.00%
Compliance	20	19	95.00%

(1) For a detailed explanation of how the Compliance Score, Results Score, and the Results-Driven Accountability Percentage and Determination were calculated, review "How the Department Made Determinations under Section 616(d) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act in 2025: Part B."

#### 2025 Part B Results Matrix

##### Reading Assessment Elements

Reading Assessment Elements	Grade	Performance (%)	Score
Percentage of Children with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessment (2)	Grade 4	99%	1
Percentage of Children with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessment	Grade 8	99%	1
Percentage of Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress	Grade 4	18%	0
Percentage of Children with Disabilities Included in Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress	Grade 4	95%	1
Percentage of Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress	Grade 8	17%	0
Percentage of Children with Disabilities Included in Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress	Grade 8	90%	1

**Math Assessment Elements**

<b>Math Assessment Elements</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Performance (%)</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>Percentage of Children with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessment</b>	Grade 4	99%	1
<b>Percentage of Children with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessment</b>	Grade 8	99%	1
<b>Percentage of Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress</b>	Grade 4	38%	0
<b>Percentage of Children with Disabilities Included in Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress</b>	Grade 4	94%	1
<b>Percentage of Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress</b>	Grade 8	10%	0
<b>Percentage of Children with Disabilities Included in Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress</b>	Grade 8	93%	1

(2) Statewide assessments include the regular assessment and the alternate assessment.

**Exiting Data Elements**

Exiting Data Elements	Performance (%)	Score
Percentage of Children with Disabilities who Dropped Out	7	2
Percentage of Children with Disabilities who Graduated with a Regular High School Diploma*	84	2

\*When providing exiting data under section 618 of the IDEA, States are required to report on the number of students with disabilities who exited an educational program through receipt of a regular high school diploma. These students meet the same standards for graduation as those for students without disabilities. As explained in 34 C.F.R. § 300.102(a)(3)(iv), in effect June 30, 2017, "the term regular high school diploma means the standard high school diploma awarded to the preponderance of students in the State that is fully aligned with State standards, or a higher diploma, except that a regular high school diploma shall not be aligned to the alternate academic achievement standards described in section 1111(b)(1)(E) of the ESEA. A regular high school diploma does not include a recognized equivalent of a diploma, such as a general equivalency diploma, certificate of completion, certificate of attendance, or similar lesser credential."

## 2025 Part B Compliance Matrix

Part B Compliance Indicator (3)	Performance (%)	Full Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified in FFY 2022 (4)	Score
Indicator 4B: Significant discrepancy, by race and ethnicity, in the rate of suspension and expulsion, and policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with specified requirements.	9.84%	YES	2
Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification.	0.00%	N/A	2
Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification.	0.00%	N/A	2
Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation	99.22%	YES	2
Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday	100.00%	YES	2
Indicator 13: Secondary transition	76.19%	YES	1
Indicator 18: General Supervision	98.21%	YES	2
Timely and Accurate State-Reported Data	100.00%		2
Timely State Complaint Decisions	100.00%		2
Timely Due Process Hearing Decisions	N/A		N/A
Longstanding Noncompliance			2
Programmatic Specific Conditions	None		
Uncorrected identified noncompliance	None		

(3) The complete language for each indicator is located in the Part B SPP/APR Indicator Measurement Table at:

<https://sites.ed.gov/idea/files/FFY2023-Part-B-SPP-APR-Reformatted-Measurement-Table.pdf>

(4) This column reflects full correction, which is factored into the scoring only when the compliance data are  $\geq 5\%$  and  $< 10\%$  for Indicators 4B, 9, and 10, and  $\geq 90\%$  and  $< 95\%$  for Indicators 11, 12, 13 and 18.

**Data Rubric**  
**West Virginia**

FFY 2023 APR (1)

**Part B Timely and Accurate Data -- SPP/APR Data**

APR Indicator	Valid and Reliable	Total
1	1	1
2	1	1
3A	1	1
3B	1	1
3C	1	1
3D	1	1
4A	1	1
4B	1	1
5	1	1
6	1	1
7	1	1
8	1	1
9	1	1
10	1	1
11	1	1
12	1	1
13	1	1
14	1	1
15	1	1
16	1	1
17	1	1
18	1	1

**APR Score Calculation**

<b>Subtotal</b>	22
<b>Timely Submission Points</b> - If the FFY 2023 APR was submitted on-time, place the number 5 in the cell on the right.	5
<b>Grand Total</b> - (Sum of Subtotal and Timely Submission Points) =	27

(1) In the SPP/APR Data table, where there is an N/A in the Valid and Reliable column, the Total column will display a 0. This is a change from prior years in display only; all calculation methods are unchanged. An N/A does not negatively affect a State's score; this is because 1 point is subtracted from the Denominator in the Indicator Calculation table for each cell marked as N/A in the SPP/APR Data table.

**618 Data (2)**

Table	Timely	Complete Data	Passed Edit Check	Total
Child Count/ Ed Envs Due Date: 7/31/24	1	1	1	3
Personnel Due Date: 3/5/25	1	1	1	3
Exiting Due Date: 3/5/25	1	1	1	3
Discipline Due Date: 3/5/25	1	1	1	3
State Assessment Due Date: 1/8/25	1	1	1	3
Dispute Resolution Due Date: 11/13/24	1	1	1	3
MOE/CEIS Due Date: 9/4/24	1	1	1	3

**618 Score Calculation**

Subtotal	21
Grand Total (Subtotal X 1.28571429) =	27.00

(2) In the 618 Data table, when calculating the value in the Total column, any N/As in the Timely, Complete Data, or Passed Edit Checks columns are treated as a '0'. An N/A does not negatively affect a State's score; this is because 1.28571429 points are subtracted from the Denominator in the Indicator Calculation table for each cell marked as N/A in the 618 Data table.

**Indicator Calculation**

A. APR Grand Total	27
B. 618 Grand Total	27.00
C. APR Grand Total (A) + 618 Grand Total (B) =	54.00
Total N/A Points in APR Data Table Subtracted from Denominator	0
Total N/A Points in 618 Data Table Subtracted from Denominator	0.00
<b>Denominator</b>	54.00
D. Subtotal (C divided by Denominator) (3) =	1.0000
E. Indicator Score (Subtotal D x 100) =	100.00

**(3) Note that any cell marked as N/A in the APR Data Table will decrease the denominator by 1, and any cell marked as N/A in the 618 Data Table will decrease the denominator by 1.28571429.**

## APR and 618 -Timely and Accurate State Reported Data

**DATE:** February 2025 Submission

### SPP/APR Data

**1) Valid and Reliable Data** - Data provided are from the correct time period, are consistent with 618 (when appropriate) and the measurement, and are consistent with previous indicator data (unless explained).

### Part B 618 Data

**1) Timely** – A State will receive one point if it submits all *EDFacts* files or the entire *EMAPS* survey associated with the IDEA Section 618 data collection to ED by the initial due date for that collection (as described in the table below).

618 Data Collection	EDFacts Files/ EMAPS Survey	Due Date
Part B Child Count and Educational Environments	FS002 & FS089	7/31/2024
Part B Personnel	FS070, FS099, FS112	3/5/2025
Part B Exiting	FS009	3/5/2025
Part B Discipline	FS005, FS006, FS007, FS088, FS143, FS144	3/5/2025
Part B Assessment	FS175, FS178, FS185, FS188	1/8/2025
Part B Dispute Resolution	Part B Dispute Resolution Survey in <i>EMAPS</i>	11/13/2024
Part B LEA Maintenance of Effort Reduction and Coordinated Early Intervening Services	Part B MOE Reduction and CEIS Survey in <i>EMAPS</i>	9/4/2024

**2) Complete Data** – A State will receive one point if it submits data for all files, permitted values, category sets, subtotals, and totals associated with a specific data collection by the initial due date. No data is reported as missing. No placeholder data is submitted. The data and metadata responses submitted to *EDFacts* align. State-level data include data from all districts or agencies.

**3) Passed Edit Check** – A State will receive one point if it submits data that meets all the edit checks related to the specific data collection by the initial due date. The counts included in 618 data submissions are internally consistent within a data collection.



## Dispute Resolution

### IDEA Part B

#### West Virginia

School Year: 2023-24

#### Section A: Written, Signed Complaints

<b>(1) Total number of written signed complaints filed.</b>	35
(1.1) Complaints with reports issued.	16
(1.1) (a) Reports with findings of noncompliance	13
(1.1) (b) Reports within timelines	16
(1.1) (c) Reports within extended timelines	0
(1.2) Complaints pending.	0
(1.2) (a) Complaints pending a due process hearing.	0
(1.3) Complaints withdrawn or dismissed.	19

#### Section B: Mediation Requests

<b>(2) Total number of mediation requests received through all dispute resolution processes.</b>	4
(2.1) Mediations held.	4
(2.1) (a) Mediations held related to due process complaints.	2
(2.1) (a) (i) Mediation agreements related to due process complaints.	1
(2.1) (b) Mediations held not related to due process complaints.	2
(2.1) (b) (i) Mediation agreements not related to due process complaints.	1
(2.2) Mediations pending.	0
(2.3) Mediations withdrawn or not held.	0

#### Section C: Due Process Complaints

<b>(3) Total number of due process complaints filed.</b>	19
(3.1) Resolution meetings.	6
(3.1) (a) Written settlement agreements reached through resolution meetings.	6
(3.2) Hearings fully adjudicated.	0
(3.2) (a) Decisions within timeline (include expedited).	0
(3.2) (b) Decisions within extended timeline.	0
(3.3) Due process complaints pending.	5
(3.4) Due process complaints withdrawn or dismissed (including resolved without a hearing).	14

#### Section D: Expedited Due Process Complaints (Related to Disciplinary Decision)

<b>(4) Total number of expedited due process complaints filed.</b>	1
(4.1) Expedited resolution meetings.	0
(4.1) (a) Expedited written settlement agreements.	0
(4.2) Expedited hearings fully adjudicated.	0
(4.2) (a) Change of placement ordered	0
(4.3) Expedited due process complaints pending.	0
(4.4) Expedited due process complaints withdrawn or dismissed.	1

This report shows the most recent data that was entered by: West Virginia

These data were extracted on the close date: 11/13/2024



## How the Department Made Determinations

Below is the location of How the Department Made Determinations (HTDMD) on OSEP's IDEA Website. How the Department Made Determinations in 2025 will be posted in June 2025. Copy and paste the link below into a browser to view.

<https://sites.ed.gov/idea/how-the-department-made-determinations/>