

West Virginia Department of Education
 Elementary and Secondary School Emergency
 Relief Fund (ESSERF)
 LEA Monitoring Document

LEA:	LEA Personnel:	WVDE Program Monitor(s):	Date(s) of Monitoring Visit:
Hampshire	Denise Hott Patty Lipps	Carrie Reeves Mami Itamochi Elizabeth McCoy	January 27, 2023

The following monitoring document is provided to local education agency personnel to be used for the monitoring of their ESSER I funded program. For each of the monitoring indicators, program compliance and/or effectiveness is determined based on interview responses, supporting evidence provided by the Local Education Agency (LEA), as well as information gathered through the statewide WVEIS data collection and the Grants and Planning System (GPS) platform.

The list, found beside Sample Evidence, has examples of documentation that may be submitted and/or reviewed. The LEA must keep five years of documentation related to monitoring indicators. An official notice of monitoring will be provided to the LEA, which will indicate the exact school year documentation that is to be submitted for the monitoring year in which the LEA is scheduled for review. The LEA will submit required documentation, via Office 365—One Drive folder provided by the Office of Federal Programs. If monitoring findings warrant, the WVDE Office of Federal Programs reserves the right to request prior year documentation to complete monitoring reviews.

During review, the program monitor(s) will provide the following ratings for each monitoring item:

- **Compliance**-- the LEA meets all requirements of statute or regulation in the implementation of its program.
- **Meets Compliance with Recommendations**-- the LEA meets minimum requirements of statute or regulation in the implementation of its program. In this case, a recommendation may be issued.
- **Does Not Meet Compliance**-- the LEA does not meet the minimum requirements of statute or regulation in the implementation of its program, in this case, a finding will be issued.

	RATING & COMMENTS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Compliance ▪ Meets Compliance with Recommendation(s) ▪ Does Not Meet Compliance—Finding ▪ NA - Not applicable
<p>1. The LEA provides services to private school students and teachers in an equitable manner based on the needs of the private schools choosing to participate.</p> <p>Sample Evidence: Private school agreements, contractual agreements, documented expenditures, LEA interviews</p> <p>WVDE Verification: ESSER Application</p>	<p>Compliance</p>
<p>2. The LEA complies with all requirements for notification and consultation with private school officials.</p> <p>Sample Evidence: Notification letters and other private school related documents, LEA interviews</p> <p>WVDE Verification: Consultation documentation in ESSER Application</p>	<p>Compliance</p>
<p>3. The LEA only spends funds for allowable activities based on an approved ESSER Application.</p> <p>Sample Evidence: A sampling of financial records will be requested by WVDE to check allowable costs.</p> <p>WVDE Verification: WVEIS, ESSER Application</p>	<p>Does Not Meet Compliance – Finding</p> <p>Dollardays International - masks totaling over \$5,000</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No bid documentation provided <p>LEA stated that the finance director would google to find the lowest price available; however, no documentation was provided to show the cost comparison.</p> <p>Baker McMillen – Cordless Electrostatic Sprayers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No bid documentation provided. <p>LEA stated that they found</p>

several different vendors and selected the cheapest one; however, no documentation was provided to show the cost comparison.

Richtech System – Thermal scanners and non-contact temperature Kiosk

- No bid documentation provided. No bid documentation provided. LEA stated that they found several different vendors and selected the cheapest one; however, no documentation was provided to show the cost comparison.

PTAC Holdings – Air conditioner and parts

- No bid documentation provided. No bid documentation provided. LEA stated that they found several different vendors and selected the cheapest one; however, no documentation was provided to show the cost comparison.

For Corrective Action: The LEA must follow the corrective action for the ESSER II and ARP Monitoring which is outlined below.

The LEA will need to submit in writing their procedures for ensuring that the bid process is followed and that all personnel

	<p>have been trained on Policy 8200, to the Office of Federal programs by May 23, 2023.</p>
<p>4. The LEA does not exceed their approved indirect costs rate.</p> <p>WVDE Verification: WVEIS, ESSER Application</p> <p><i>EDGAR, Section 76.563</i></p>	<p>Compliance</p>
<p>5. The LEA supports an updated equipment list for all federally funded purchased items (public and private schools) and conducts a physical inventory of all equipment (individual item cost of \$5K or more) at least once every two years.</p> <p>Sample Evidence: ESSER funded equipment inventory, LEA interviews, written procedures for managing equipment</p> <p><i>EDGAR 80.32(b)</i></p>	<p>Compliance</p>
<p>6. All ESSER I funded staff complete Time and Effort reports (monthly or semi-annual).</p> <p>Sample Evidence: Time and Effort reports for all individuals paid out of ESSER I funding (full and part-time)</p> <p>WVDE Verification: Certified list, Expenditure reports</p> <p><i>OMB 2 CFR Part 200.430 Subpart E</i></p>	<p>Compliance</p>
<p>7. LEA has a system of internal controls.</p> <p>Sample Evidence: Internal Controls Policy / Procedure</p>	<p>Compliance</p>