## West Virginia Department of Education Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund (ESSERF) LEA Monitoring Document

LEA:	LEA Personnel:	WVDE Program Monitor(s):	Date(s) of Monitoring Visit:
	Nancy Hanna	Carrie Reeves	February 13, 2023
Greenbrier	David McClure	Mami Itamochi	
		Elizabeth McCoy	

The following monitoring document is provided to local education agency personnel to be used for the monitoring of their ESSER II and ARP ESSER funded programs, including ARP-HCY. For each of the monitoring indicators, program compliance and/or effectiveness is determined based on interview responses, supporting evidence provided by the Local Education Agency (LEA), as well as information gathered through the statewide WVEIS data collection and the Grants and Planning System (GPS) platform.

The list, found beside Sample Evidence, has examples of documentation that may be submitted and/or reviewed. The LEA must keep documentation related to monitoring indicators for five years past the end of the project period. An official notice of monitoring will be provided to the LEA, which will indicate the exact school year documentation that is to be submitted for the monitoring year in which the LEA is scheduled for review. The LEA will submit required documentation, via Office 365—One Drive folder provided by the Office of Federal Programs. If monitoring findings warrant, the WVDE Office of Federal Programs reserves the right to request prior year documentation to complete monitoring reviews.

During review, the program monitor(s) will provide the following ratings for each monitoring item:

- **Compliance** the LEA meets all requirements of statute or regulation in the implementation of its program.
- **Meets Compliance with Recommendations**-- the LEA meets minimum requirements of statute or regulation in the implementation of its program. In this case, a recommendation may be issued.
- **Does Not Meet Compliance**-- the LEA does not meet the minimum requirements of statute or regulation in the implementation of its program, in this case, a finding will be issued.

I. Program Requirements

RATING & COMMENTS  Compliance  Meets Compliance with Recommend Does Not Meet Compliance—Findin  NA - Not applicable  1. An LEA receiving funds under ARP ESSER shall develop and make publicly available on the LEA's website, not later than 30 days after receiving the allocation of funds, a plan for the safe return to in-person instruction and continuity of services. This plan shall be updated no less than every six months.  Sample Evidence: Published Safe Return to In-Person Instruction and Continuity of Services plan, any published updates based on periodic reviews (at-least every 6 months)  WVDE Verification: LEA Webpage	
on the LEA's website, not later than 30 days after receiving the allocation of funds, a plan for the safe return to in-person instruction and continuity of services. This plan shall be updated no less than every six months.  Sample Evidence: Published Safe Return to In-Person Instruction and Continuity of Services plan, any published updates based on periodic reviews (at-least every 6 months)  WVDE Verification: LEA Webpage	
plan, any published updates based on periodic reviews (at-least every 6 months)  WVDE Verification: LEA Webpage	
<ol> <li>An LEA receiving funds under ARP ESSER must develop, submit to the SEA on a reasonable timeline, and make publicly available on the LEA's webpage, a plan for the LEA's use of ARP ESSER funds.</li> </ol>	
WVDE Verification: ARP ESSER Application, LEA Webpage	
3. An LEA receiving funds under ARP ESSER shall reserve not less than 20 percent of such funds to address learning loss through the implementation of evidence-based interventions, such as summer learning or summer enrichment, extended day, comprehensive afterschool programs, or extended school year programs, and ensure that such interventions respond to students' academic, social, and emotional needs and address the disproportionate impact of the coronavirus on the student subgroups described in section 1111(b)(2)(B)(xi) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(2)(B)(xi)), students experiencing homelessness, and children and youth in foster care.	
Sample Evidence: Expenditure Report that shows Cost Center for Addressing Learning Loss	
WVDE Verification: ARP ESSER Application	

4. Activities coded to the 20% required learning loss set-aside need to meet one of the four tiers of evidence-based interventions.

**Sample Evidenc**e: Supporting documentation, research articles, websites, etc., that support the practices utilized.

## Meets Compliance with Recommendation:

When selecting activities to address learning loss, it is recommended that the LEA planning team review and maintain supporting research documentation that meets the criteria of at least one of ESSA's four tiers of evidence. Additional information regarding these tiers may be found in the Federal Program Director's Survival Guide.

## II. Fiscal Resources

	<ul> <li>RATING &amp; COMMENTS</li> <li>Compliance</li> <li>Meets Compliance with Recommendation(s)</li> <li>Does Not Meet Compliance—Finding</li> <li>NA - Not applicable</li> </ul>
The LEA only spends funds for allowable activities based on an approved ESSER II,     ARP ESSER, and ARP HCY Applications.	Does Not Meet Compliance - Finding
allowable costs.	\$39,636 and \$65,160. No Bid
WVDE Verification: WVEIS, ESSER II, Summer SOLE, ARP ESSER and ARP HCY Ap Applications	documentation was provided. A statement from the LEA states that Tiger Medical was the only vendor that had these items available; however, no documentation to show that other companies were contacted, or that an advertisement for bids was put out.
	Every Monday Matters – Check #536490 Purchase for Educational Services –

Speaking Fee – No Purchase Order put in place.

Oliver Distribution – Check #539384 – Totaling \$24,407.50, the bid process was not followed for this purchase.

BB Trucking – Check #537704 – No Purchase Order Submitted for the purchase of gravel and fabric totaling \$19,800, the bid process was also not followed for this purchase.

West Virginia Playground Builders – Multiple Checks – No Purchase Order provided for Check #540527 - No Bid documentation

Bostic Paving – Check #536643 totaling \$11,065, the bid process was not followed for this purchase.

Cramer Security – Check #538304 and Key Telephone – Check #53953 for Vape Sensors and installation, these items were not in the approved application.

For Corrective Action: The LEA must provide written procedures on how the LEA will ensure that the bidding requirements will be adhered to moving forward, to the Office of Federal Programs and Support by April 29, 2023.

For Corrective Action: The LEA must

	provide in writing their process for ensuring Purchase Orders are put in place before purchases are completed, to the Office of Federal Programs and Support by April 29, 2023.  The LEA will need to submit budget revisions in GPS to capture any items not in the approved budgets by April 29, 2023.
2. The LEA does not exceed their approved indirect costs rate.	Compliance
WVDE Verification: WVEIS, ESSER II, Summer SOLE, ARP ESSER, and ARP HCY Applications	
EDGAR, Section 76.563	
3. The LEA has a clean fiscal audit.	Compliance
Sample Evidence: Copies of latest audit reports and audit responses to corrective actions.	
<ol> <li>The LEA supports an updated equipment list for all federally funded purchased items (public and private schools) and conducts a physical inventory of all equipment at least once every two years.</li> </ol>	Compliance
<b>Sample Evidence:</b> ESSER funded equipment inventory, LEA interviews, written procedures for managing equipment	

5		ESSER II, Summer SOLE, ARP HCY, and ARP ESSER funded staff complete Time and ort reports (monthly or semi-annual).	Compliance
		<b>mple Evidence:</b> Time and Effort reports for all individuals paid out of ESSER II, Summer LE, ARP ESSER, and ARP HCY funding (full and part-time)	
	WV	/DE Verification: Certified list, Expenditure reports	
ОМ	B 2 C	CFR Part 200.430 Subpart E	
6.	LEA	A has a system of internal controls.	Compliance
	Sa	mple Evidence: Internal Controls Policy / Procedure	
7.	(i) (ii) (ii) (2) (A) (B) (C)	al year 2022 or 2023— reduce per-pupil funding (from combined State and local funding) for any high-poverty school served by such local educational agency by an amount that exceeds— the total reduction in local educational agency funding (from combined State and local funding) for all schools served by the local educational agency in such fiscal year (if any); divided by the number of children enrolled in all schools served by the local educational agency in such fiscal year; or reduce per-pupil, full-time equivalent staff in any high-poverty school by an amount that	Does Not Meet Compliance – Finding  Crichton Elementary did not meet Maintenance of Equity for FTE.  For Corrective Action: The LEA must submit by May 30, 2023, to the Office of Federal Programs and Support the adjustments the LEA will make to be in compliance with Maintenance of Equity before the start of the next school year.

**Sample Evidence:** Any data table, summary, or spreadsheet comparing state and local allocations for fiscal years 2022 and 2023 against the comparison year. Data should demonstrate that:

- Schools in the highest poverty quartile did not have a greater per-pupil reduction than the average reduction for all schools.
- Schools in the highest poverty quartile did not have a greater reduction in FTE per pupil than the average reduction for all schools.

Narrative explaining exceptional circumstances that could have resulted in an exception from this statutory indicator.

**WVDE Verification:** per-pupil funding and per-pupil FTE staff data will be run by WVDE, if the LEA does not meet compliance, it will then need to upload the above Sample Evidence.

8. An LEA using ESSER funds for remodeling, renovation, and new construction must comply with additional federal requirements. These projects require prior written approval by the SEA. Approved construction projects also must comply with applicable Uniform Guidance requirements, Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements, and all the Department's applicable regulations regarding construction.

## Sample Evidence:

- Copies of consultation with governmental agencies, architecture plans with building permits, historic and environmental surveys.
- Copies of insurance paperwork for Contractor.
- Plans for Maintenance of Operations.
- Relevant RFP and or solicitation documents.
- Copies of relevant contracts showing wage agreements.
- Copies of input from engineers, architects, or other professionals specifying compliance with requirements listed.
- Written statements from contractors and accompanying documentation to demonstrate
  prevailing wages have been researched and compiled with when paying contractors and
  subcontractors (e.g., payment ledgers of wages paid and a copy of the prevailing wage scale
  for the area).
- Any local written policies or protocol documents that summarize the LEA's process for ensuring compliance with statutory and regulatory requirements of using ESSER funds for construction purposes.

**WVDE Verification:** ARP Application

A

9.	LEA maintains written standards of conduct covering conflicts of interest	Compliance
	<b>Sample Evidence</b> : copies of Conflict-of-Interest policy and procedures, signed conflict of interest questionnaires for the applicable time frame	