

# WV Science and Engineering Fair Combined Score Card

## Project Information

Project Title		
Category		
Division	Project Number	

## Judging Criteria for Science and Engineering Projects

### Research and Methodology (65 points)

Research Problem/Question (10 points)	Comments	Score
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A clear &amp; focused research question <b>OR</b> description of a practical need/problem to be solved</li> <li>Testable research question using scientific methods <b>OR</b> definition of criteria for the proposed solution with an explanation of constraints</li> <li>Identifies contribution to the field of study</li> </ul>		
Design and Methodology (15 points)	Comments	Score
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Well-designed plan and data collection methods with appropriate and complete variable &amp; controls defined <b>OR</b></li> <li>Exploration of alternatives to answer need/problem that leads to identification of a solution &amp; development of a prototype/model</li> </ul>		
Execution: Data Collection, Analysis, and Interpretation (20 points)	Comments	Score
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data collection &amp; analysis is systematic &amp; applies appropriate mathematical and statistical methods <b>OR</b></li> <li>Prototype demonstrates intended design, tested in multiple conditions/trials, &amp; demonstrates engineering skills &amp; completeness</li> <li>Results are reproducible and data collection is sufficient to support interpretation and conclusions</li> </ul>		
Creativity (20 points)	Comments	Score
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project demonstrates creativity, imagination, and inventiveness</li> <li>Project offers different perspectives that open new possibilities or new alternatives. Judges should emphasize research and design outcomes in evaluating creativity.</li> </ul>		

### Presentation (35 points)

Poster or Visual Display (10 points)	Comments	Score
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Logical organization of material</li> <li>Clarity of graphics and legends</li> <li>Supporting documentation displayed</li> </ul>		
Interview (25 points)	Comments	Score
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear, concise, thoughtful responses to questions</li> <li>Understanding of basic science relevant to the project</li> <li>Understanding interpretation and limitations of results and conclusions</li> <li>Degree of independence in conducting a project</li> <li>Recognition of potential impact in science, society, and/or economics</li> <li>Quality of ideas for further research</li> <li>For teams, contributions to &amp; understanding of project by all members</li> </ul>		

**Total Score**

Additional Comments/Suggestions (Some feedback is required-continue on back if needed):

Place awarded (circle)	First (minimum score 90)	Second (minimum score 80)	Third (minimum score 70)	Honorable Mention
------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------